



Arc-chromatic number of digraphs in which each vertex has bounded outdegree or bounded indegree

Stéphane Bessy, Etienne E. Birmelé, Frédéric Havet

► To cite this version:

Stéphane Bessy, Etienne E. Birmelé, Frédéric Havet. Arc-chromatic number of digraphs in which each vertex has bounded outdegree or bounded indegree. [Research Report] RR-5364, INRIA. 2004, pp.20. inria-00070639

HAL Id: inria-00070639

<https://inria.hal.science/inria-00070639>

Submitted on 19 May 2006

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Arc-chromatic number of digraphs in which each vertex has bounded outdegree or bounded indegree

S. Bessy — E. Birmelé — F. Havet

N° 5364

Novembre 2004

Thème COM



***rapport
de recherche***

Arc-chromatic number of digraphs in which each vertex has bounded outdegree or bounded indegree

S. Bessy , E. Birmelé ^{*} , F. Havet

Thème COM — Systèmes communicants
Projet Mascotte

Rapport de recherche n° 5364 — Novembre 2004 — 20 pages

Abstract: A k -digraph is a digraph in which every vertex has outdegree at most k . A $(k \vee l)$ -digraph is a digraph in which a vertex has either outdegree at most k or indegree at most l . Motivated by function theory, we study the maximum value $\Phi(k)$ (resp. $\Phi^\vee(k, l)$) of the arc-chromatic number over the k -digraphs (resp. $(k \vee l)$ -digraphs). El-Sahili [3] showed that $\Phi^\vee(k, k) \leq 2k + 1$. After giving a simple proof of this result, we show some better bounds. We show $\max\{\log(2k+3), \theta(k+1)\} \leq \Phi(k) \leq \theta(2k)$ and $\max\{\log(2k+2l+4), \theta(k+1), \theta(l+1)\} \leq \Phi^\vee(k, l) \leq \theta(2k+2l)$ where θ is the function defined by $\theta(k) = \min\{s : \binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} \geq k\}$. We then study in more details properties of Φ and Φ^\vee . Finally, we give the exact values of $\Phi(k)$ and $\Phi^\vee(k, l)$ for $l \leq k \leq 3$.

Key-words: arc-colouring, arc-chromatic number, function theory

^{*} Laboratoire MAGE, Université de Haute-Alsace, 6, rue des frères Lumière, 68093 Mulhouse Cedex, France

Nombre arc-chromatique des digraphes dont les sommets ont degré externe ou degré interne borné

Résumé : Un k -*digraphe* est un digraphe dont les sommets ont degré externe au plus k . Un $(k \vee l)$ -digraphe est un digraphe dont les sommets ont soit degré externe au plus k soit degré interne au plus l . Motivés par la théorie des fonctions, nous étudions la valeur maximale $\Phi(k)$ (resp. $\Phi^\vee(k, l)$) du nombre arc-chromatique d'un k -digraphe (resp. $(k \vee l)$ -digraphe). El-Sahili [3] a montré $\Phi^\vee(k, k) \leq 2k + 1$. Après avoir donné une preuve simple de ce résultat, nous donnons de meilleure borne. Nous prouvons $\max\{\log(2k + 3), \theta(k + 1)\} \leq \Phi(k) \leq \theta(2k)$ et $\max\{\log(2k + 2l + 4), \theta(k + 1), \theta(l + 1)\} \leq \Phi^\vee(k, l) \leq \theta(2k + 2l)$ avec θ la fonction définie par $\theta(k) = \min\{s : \binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} \geq k\}$. Nous étudions ensuite plus en détails des propriétés de Φ et Φ^\vee . Enfin, nous donnons les valeurs exactes de $\Phi(k)$ et $\Phi^\vee(k, l)$ pour $l \leq k \leq 3$.

Mots-clés : arc-coloration, nombre arc-chromatique, théorie des fonctions

1 Introduction

A *directed graph* or *digraph* D is a pair $(V(D), E(D))$ of disjoint sets (of *vertices* and *arcs*) together with two maps $tail : E(D) \rightarrow V(D)$ and $head : E(D) \rightarrow V(D)$ assigning to every arc e a *tail*, $tail(e)$, and a *head*, $head(e)$. The tail and the head of an arc are its *ends*. An arc with tail u and head v is denoted by uv ; we say that u *dominates* v and write $u \rightarrow v$. We also say that u and v are adjacent. The *order* of a digraph is its number of vertices. In this paper, all the digraph we consider are *loopless*, that is that every arc has its tail distinct from its head.

Let D be a digraph. The *line-digraph* of D is the digraph $L(D)$ such that $V(L(D)) = E(D)$ and an arc $a \in E(D)$ dominates an arc $b \in E(D)$ in $L(D)$ if and only if $head(a) = tail(b)$.

A *vertex-colouring* or *colouring* of D is an application c from the vertex-set $V(D)$ into a set of colours S such that for any arc uv , $c(u) \neq c(v)$. The *chromatic number* of D , denoted $\chi(D)$, is the minimum number of colours of a colouring of D .

An *arc-colouring* of D is an application c from the arc-set $E(D)$ into a set of colours S such that if the tail of an arc e is the head of an arc e' then $c(e) \neq c(e')$. Trivially, there is a one-to-one correspondence between arc-colourings of D and colourings of $L(D)$. The *arc-chromatic number* of D , denoted $\chi_a(D)$, is the minimum number of colours of an arc-colouring of D . Clearly $\chi_a(D) = \chi(L(D))$.

A k -*digraph* is a digraph in which every vertex has outdegree at most k . A $(k \vee l)$ -*digraph* is a digraph in which a vertex has either outdegree at most k or indegree at most l .

For any digraph D and set of vertices $V' \subset V(D)$, we denote by $D[V']$, the subdigraph of D induced by the vertices of V' . For any subdigraph F of D , we denote by $D - F$ the digraph $(V(D) \setminus V(F), E(D) \setminus E(F))$. For any arc-set $E' \subset E$, we denote by $D - E'$ the digraph $(V(D), E(D) \setminus E')$ and for any vertex $x \in V(D)$, we denote by $D - x$ the digraph induced by $V(D) \setminus \{x\}$.

Let D be a $(k \vee l)$ -digraph. We denote by $V^+(D)$, or V^+ if D is clearly understood, the subset of the vertices of D with outdegree at most k , and by $V^-(D)$ or V^- the complementary of $V^+(D)$ in $V(D)$. Also D^+ (resp. D^-) denotes $D[V^+]$ (resp. $D[V^-]$).

In this paper, we study the arc-chromatic number of k -digraphs and $(k \vee l)$ -digraphs. This is motivated by the following interpretation in function theory as shown by El-Sahili in [3].

Let f and g be two maps from a finite set A into a set B . Suppose that f and g are *nowhere coinciding*, that is for all $a \in A$, $f(a) \neq g(a)$. A subset A' of A is (f, g) -*independant* if $f(A') \cap g(A') = \emptyset$. We are interested by finding the largest (f, g) -independant subset of A and the minimum number of (f, g) -independant subsets to partition A . As shown by El-Sahili [3], this can be translated into an arc-colouring problem.

Let $D_{f,g}$ and $H_{f,g}$ be the digraphs defined as follows :

- $V(D_{f,g}) = B$ and $(b, b') \in E(D_{f,g})$ if there exists an element a in A such that $g(a) = b$ and $f(a) = b'$. Note that if for all a , $f(a) \neq g(a)$, then $D_{f,g}$ has no loop.

- $V(H_{f,g}) = A$ and $(a, a') \in E(H_{f,g})$ if $f(a) = g(a')$.

We associate to each arc (b, b') in $D_{f,g}$ the vertex a of A such that $g(a) = b$ and $f(a) = b'$. Then (a, a') is an arc in $H_{f,g}$ if, and only if, $head(a) = tail(a')$ (as arcs in $D_{f,g}$). Thus $H_{f,g} = L(D_{f,g})$. Note that for every digraph D , there exists maps f and g such that $D = D_{f,g}$.

It is easy to see that an (f, g) -independant subset of A is an independant set in $H_{f,g}$. In [2] El-Sahili proved the following :

Theorem 1 (El-Sahili [2]) *Let f and g be two nowhere coinciding maps from a finite set A into a set B . Then there exists an (f, g) -independant subset A' of cardinality at least $|A|/4$.*

Let f and g be two nowhere coinciding maps from a finite set A into B . We define $\phi(f, g)$ as the minimum number of (f, g) -independant sets to partition A . Then $\phi(f, g) = \chi(H_{f,g}) = \chi_a(D_{f,g})$.

Let $\Phi(k)$ (resp. $\Phi^\vee(k, l)$) be the maximum value of $\phi(f, g)$ for two nowhere coinciding maps f and g from A into B such that for every z in B , $g^{-1}(z) \leq k$ (resp. either $g^{-1}(z) \leq k$ or $f^{-1}(z) \leq l$). The condition $f^{-1}(z)$ (resp. $g^{-1}(z)$) has at most k elements means that each vertex has indegree (resp. outdegree) at most k in $D_{f,g}$. Hence $\Phi(k)$ (resp. $\Phi^\vee(k, l)$) is the maximum value of $\chi_a(D)$ for D a k -digraph (resp. $(k \vee l)$ -digraph).

Remark 2 Let f and g be two nowhere coinciding maps from A into B . Then A may be partitionned into $\Phi(|A| - 1)$ (f, g) -independant sets.

The functions Φ^\vee and Φ are very close to each other:

Proposition 3

$$\Phi(k) \leq \Phi^\vee(k, 0) \leq \dots \leq \Phi^\vee(k, k) \leq \Phi(k) + 2$$

Proof. The sole inequality that does not immediatly follow the definitions is $\Phi^\vee(k, k) \leq \Phi(k) + 2$. Let us prove it.

Let D be a $(k \vee k)$ -digraph. One can colour the arcs in $D^+ \cup D^-$ with $\Phi(k)$ colours. It remains to colour the arcs with tail in V^- and head in V^+ with one new colour and the arcs with tail in V^+ and head in V^- with a second new colour. \square

Moreover, we conjecture that $\Phi^\vee(k, k)$ is never equal to $\Phi(k) + 2$.

Conjecture 4

$$\Phi^\vee(k, k) \leq \Phi(k) + 1$$

In [3], El-Sahili gave the following upper bound on $\Phi^\vee(k, k)$:

Theorem 5 (El-Sahili [3]) $\Phi^\vee(k, k) \leq 2k + 1$

In this paper, we first give simple proofs of Theorems 1 and 5. Then, in Section 3, we improve the upper bounds on $\Phi(k)$ and $\Phi^\vee(k, l)$. We show (Theorem 18) that $\Phi(k) \leq \theta(2k)$ if $k \geq 2$, and $\Phi^\vee(k, l) \leq \theta(2k + 2l)$ if $k + l \geq 3$, where θ is the function defined by $\theta(k) = \min\{s : \binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} \geq k\}$. We also establish (Corollary 21) that $\Phi^\vee(k, l) \leq \theta(2k)$ if $\theta(2k) \geq 2l + 1$.

In Section 4, we study in more details the relations between $\Phi^\vee(k, l)$ and $\Phi(k)$. We conjecture that if k is very large compared to l then $\Phi^\vee(k, l) = \Phi(k)$. We prove that $\Phi^\vee(k, 0) = \Phi(k)$ and conjecture that $\Phi^\vee(k, 1) = \Phi(k)$ if $k \geq 1$. We prove that for a fixed k either this latter conjecture holds or Conjecture 4 holds. This implies that $\Phi^\vee(k, 1) \leq \Phi(k) + 1$.

Finally, in Section 5, we give the exact values of $\Phi(k)$ and $\Phi^\vee(k, l)$ for $l \leq k \leq 3$. They are summarized in the following table :

$\Phi^\vee(0, 0) = 1$	$\Phi^\vee(1, 0) = \Phi(1) = 3$	$\Phi^\vee(2, 0) = \Phi(2) = 4$	$\Phi^\vee(3, 0) = \Phi(3) = 4$
	$\Phi^\vee(1, 1) = 3$	$\Phi^\vee(2, 1) = 4$	$\Phi^\vee(3, 1) = 4$
		$\Phi^\vee(2, 2) = 4$	$\Phi^\vee(3, 2) = 5$
			$\Phi^\vee(3, 3) = 5$

2 Simple proofs of Theorems 1 and 5

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $D = D_{f,g}$. Let (V_1, V_2) be a partition of $V(D)$ that maximizes the number of arcs a with one end in V_1 and one end in V_2 . It is well-known that $a \geq |E(D)|/2$. Now let A_1 be the set of arcs with head in V_1 and tail in V_2 and A_2 be the set of arcs with head in V_2 and tail in V_1 . Then A_1 and A_2 corresponds to independant sets of $L(D)$ and $|A_1| + |A_2| = a$. Hence one of the A_i has cardinality at least $a/2 = \frac{|E(D)|}{4}$. \square

Before giving a short proof of Theorem 5, we precise few standard definitions.

Definition 6 A *path* is a non-empty digraph P of the form

$$V(P) = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k\} \quad E(P) = \{v_0v_1, v_1v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}v_k\},$$

where the v_i are all distinct. The vertices v_0 and v_k are respectively called the *origin* and *terminus* of P .

A *circuit* is a non-empty digraph C of the form

$$V(C) = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k\} \quad E(C) = \{v_0v_1, v_1v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}v_k, v_kv_0\},$$

where the v_i are all distinct.

A digraph is *strongly connected* or *strong* if for every two vertices u and v there is a path with origin u and terminus v . A maximal strong subdigraph of a digraph D is called a *strong component* of D . A component I of D is *initial* if there is no arc with tail in $V(D) \setminus V(I)$

and head in $V(I)$. A component I of D is *terminal* if there is no arc with tail in $V(I)$ and head in $V(D) \setminus V(I)$. A digraph is *connected* if its underlying graph is connected.

A digraph D is *l -degenerate* if every subdigraph H has a vertex of degree at most l .

The following lemma corresponding to the greedy colouring algorithm is a piece of folklore.

Lemma 7 *Every l -degenerate digraph is $(l + 1)$ -colourable.*

Proof of Theorem 5. Let D be a $(k \vee k)$ -digraph. According to Lemma 7, it suffices to prove that $L(D)$ is $2k$ -degenerate.

In every initial strong component C there is a vertex with indegree at most k . Indeed if there is no such vertex then $(k + 1)|C| \leq \sum_{v \in C} d^-(v) \leq \sum_{v \in C} d^+(v) \leq k|C|$. Analogously, in every terminal strong component there is a vertex with outdegree at most k .

Now, there is a path originating in a minimal component and terminating in a terminal one. Hence there is a path whose origin has indegree at most k and whose terminus has outdegree at most k . Hence there is an arc e whose tail has indegree at most k and whose head has outdegree at most k . Thus e has degree at most $2k$ in $L(D)$. \square

3 Lower and upper bounds for Φ and Φ^\vee

We will now search for bounds on Φ since they also give bounds on Φ^\vee .

Theorem 1 and an easy induction yields $\chi_a(D) \leq \log_{4/3} |D|$. However there exists better upper bounds provided by Poljak and Rödl [5]. For sake of completeness and in order to introduce useful tools, we provide a proof of Theorem 11.

Definition 8 We denote by \overline{H}_k the complementary of the hypercube of dimension k , that is the digraph with vertex-set all the subsets of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ and with arc-set $\{xy : x \not\subseteq y\}$.

A *homomorphism* $h : D \rightarrow D'$ is a mapping $h : V(D) \rightarrow V(D')$ such that for every arc xy of D , $h(x)h(y)$ is an arc of D' .

Let c be an arc-colouring of a digraph D into a set of colours S . For any vertex x of D , we denote by $Col_c^+(x)$ or simply $Col^+(x)$ the set of colours assigned to the arcs with tail x . We define $Col^-(x) = S \setminus Col^+(x)$. Note that $Col^-(x)$ contains (but may be bigger than) the set of colours assigned to the arcs with head x . The cardinality of $Col^+(x)$ (resp. $Col^-(x)$) is denoted by $col^+(x)$ (resp. $col^-(x)$).

Theorem 9 *For every digraph D , $\chi_a(D) = \min\{k : D \rightarrow \overline{H}_k\}$.*

Proof. Assume that D admits an arc-colouring with $\{1, \dots, k\}$. It is easy to check that Col^+ is a homomorphism from D to \overline{H}_k .

Conversely, suppose that there exists a homomorphism h from D to \overline{H}_k . Assign to each arc xy an element of $h(y) \setminus h(x)$, which is not empty. This provides an arc-colouring of D . \square

Definition 10 The *complete digraph of order n* , denoted \vec{K}_n , is the digraph with vertex-set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and arc-set $\{v_i v_j : i \neq j\}$.

The *transitive tournament of order n* , denoted TT_n , is the digraph with vertex-set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and arc-set $\{v_i v_j : i < j\}$.

The following corollary of Theorem 9 provides bounds on the arc-chromatic number of a digraph according to its chromatic number.

Theorem 11 (Poljak and Rödl [5]) *For every digraph D ,*

$$\lceil \log(\chi(D)) \rceil \leq \chi_a(D) \leq \theta(\chi(D)).$$

Proof. By definition of the chromatic number, $D \rightarrow \vec{K}_{\chi(D)}$. As the subsets of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ with cardinality $\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$ induce a complete digraph on $\binom{k}{\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil}$ vertices in \vec{H}_k , we obtain a homomorphism from D to $\vec{H}_{\theta(\chi(D))}$. So $\chi_a(D) \leq \theta(\chi(D))$.

By Theorem 9, we have $D \rightarrow \vec{H}_{\chi_a(D)}$. As $\chi(\vec{H}_{\chi_a(D)}) = 2^{\chi_a(D)}$, we obtain $D \rightarrow \vec{K}_{2^{\chi_a(D)}}$. \square

These bounds are tight since the lower one is achieved by transitive tournaments and the upper one by complete digraphs by Sperner's Lemma (see [6]). However, the lower bound may be increased if the digraph has no sink (vertex with outdegree 0) or/and no source (vertex with indegree 0).

Theorem 12 *Let D be a digraph.*

- (i) *If D has no sink then $\log(\chi(D) + 1) \leq \chi_a(D)$.*
- (ii) *If D has no source and no sink then $\log(\chi(D) + 2) \leq \chi_a(D)$.*

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 11. But if a digraph has no source (resp. no sink) then for every v , $Col^+(v) \neq S$ (resp. $Col^+(v) \neq \emptyset$). \square

Again these two lower bounds are also tight. Let Q_n (resp. W_n) be the tournament of order n obtained from TT_n by reversing the arc $v_1 v_n$ (resp. $v_2 v_n$). One can easily check that $\chi_a(W_n) = \lceil \log(n + 1) \rceil = \lceil \log(\chi(W_n) + 1) \rceil$ and $\chi_a(Q_n) = \lceil \log(n + 2) \rceil = \lceil \log(\chi(Q_n) + 2) \rceil$.

Proposition 13 *Every k -digraph is $2k$ -degenerate.*

Proof. Every subdigraph of a k -digraph is also a k -digraph. Hence it suffices to prove that every k -digraph has a vertex with degree at most $2k$. Since the sum of outdegrees equals the sum of indegrees, there is a vertex with indegree at most k and thus with degree at most $2k$. \square

Corollary 14

$$\max\{\log(2k+3), \theta(k+1)\} \leq \Phi(k) \leq \theta(2k+1)$$

Proof. The upper bound follows from Theorem 11, Proposition 13 and Lemma 7. The lower bound comes from a regular tournament on $2k+1$ vertices T_{2k+1} and the complete digraph on $k+1$ vertices \vec{K}_{k+1} . Indeed $\chi(T_{2k+1}) = 2k+1$, so $\chi_a(T_{2k+1}) \geq \log(2k+3)$ by Theorem 12 and $\chi_a(\vec{K}_{k+1}) = \theta(k+1)$. \square

Corollary 15

$$\max\{\log(2k+2l+4), \theta(k+1), \theta(l+1)\} \leq \Phi^\vee(k, l) \leq \theta(2k+2l+2)$$

Proof. The upper bound follows Theorem 11 and Proposition degen since every $(k \vee l)$ -digraph D is $2k+2l+2$ -colourable (D^+ is $2k$ -degenerate and so $(2k+1)$ -colourable and D^- is $2l$ -degenerate and so $(2l+1)$ -colourable). The lower bound comes from \vec{K}_{k+1} , \vec{K}_{l+1} and a tournament T composed of a regular tournament on $2l+1$ vertices dominating a regular tournament on $2k+1$ vertices. Indeed, $\chi_a(\vec{K}_{k+1}) = \theta(k+1)$, $\chi_a(\vec{K}_{l+1}) = \theta(l+1)$ and T has no source, no sink and chromatic number $2k+2l+2$, by Theorem 12, $\chi_a(T) \geq \log(2k+2l+4)$. \square

We can obtain a slightly better upper bound on Φ . Bounds on Φ^\vee follow.

Definition 16 For any integer $k \geq 1$, let T_k^+ ($k \geq 1$) be the complete digraph on t_1^+, \dots, t_{2k+1}^+ minus the arcs $\{t_1^+ t_2^+, t_1^+ t_3^+, \dots, t_1^+ t_{k+1}^+\}$.

Lemma 17 Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. If D is a k -digraph, then there exists a homomorphism h^+ from D to T_k^+ such that if $h^+(x) = t_1^+$ then $d^+(x) = k$.

Proof. For a fixed k , we prove it by induction on $|V(D)|$.

First, suppose that there exists in D a vertex x with $d^-(x) < k$. Then, $d^+(x) + d^-(x) < 2k$. By induction on $D - x$, there is a homomorphism h from $D - x$ to T_k^+ such that if $h^+(v) = t_1^+$ then $d_{D-x}^+(v) = k$. Hence, $h^+(y) \neq t_1^+$ for every inneighbour y of x , because $d_{D-x}^+(y) < k$. As x has at most $2k-1$ neighbours, we find $i \in \{2, \dots, 2k+1\}$ such that no neighbour y of x satisfies $h^+(y) = t_i^+$. So, $h^+(x) = t_i^+$ extends h^+ to a homomorphism from D to T_k^+ .

Suppose now that every vertex v of D has indegree at least k . Since $\sum_{v \in V(D)} d^-(v) = \sum_{v \in V(D)} d^+(v) \leq k|V(D)|$, every vertex has indegree and outdegree k . Hence, by Brooks Theorem (see [1]) either D is $2k$ -colourable and $D \rightarrow T_k^+[\{t_2^+, \dots, t_{2k+1}^+\}]$, or D is a regular tournament on $2k+1$ vertices. In this latter case, label the vertices of D with $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2k+1}$ such that $N^-(v_1) = \{v_2, \dots, v_{k+1}\}$. Then h^+ defined by $h^+(v_i) = t_i^+$ is the desired homomorphism. \square

Theorem 18 *Let k and l be two positive integers.*

(i) *If $k \geq 2$, then $\Phi(k) \leq \theta(2k)$.*

(ii) *If $k + l \geq 3$, then $\Phi^\vee(k, l) \leq \theta(2k + 2l)$.*

Proof. (i) If $k = 2$, the result follows Corollary 14 since $\theta(4) = \theta(5) = 4$. Suppose now that $k \geq 3$. Let D be a k -digraph. By Lemma 17 there is a homomorphism from D to T_k^+ . We will provide a homomorphism g from T_k^+ to $\overline{H}_{\theta(2k)}$.

Fix S_1, \dots, S_{2k} , $2k$ subsets of $\{1, \dots, \theta(2k)\}$ with cardinality $\lfloor \theta(2k)/2 \rfloor$ and S a subset of $\{1, \dots, 2k\}$ with cardinality $\lfloor \theta(2k)/2 \rfloor - 1$. Without loss of generality, the S_i containing S are S_1, \dots, S_l with $l \leq \theta(2k)/2 + 1 \leq k$. (One can easily check that $\theta(2k)/2 + 1 \leq k$ provided that $k \geq 3$.) Now, set $g(t_1^+) = S$ and $g(t_{i+1}^+) = S_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2k$. It is straightforward to check that g is a homomorphism.

(ii) Let D be a $(k \vee l)$ -digraph. By Lemma 17, there exists a homomorphism h^+ from D^+ to T_k^+ such that if $h^+(x) = t_1^+$ then $d^+(x) = k$ and, by symmetry, a homomorphism h^- from D^- to T_l^- , the complete digraph on $\{t_1^-, \dots, t_{2l+1}^-\}$ minus the arcs $\{t_1^- t_2^-, t_1^- t_3^-, \dots, t_1^- t_{l+1}^-\}$, such that if $h^-(x) = t_1^-$ then $d^-(x) = l$. We now provide a homomorphism from D to $\overline{H}_{\theta(2k+2l)}$.

Fix S^+ and S^- , two subsets of $\{1, \dots, \theta(2k+2l)\}$ with cardinality $\lfloor \theta(2k+2l)/2 \rfloor - 1$ for S^+ and $\lfloor \theta(2k+2l)/2 \rfloor + 1$ for S^- such that $S^+ \not\subset S^-$. (This is possible since $\theta(2k+2l) \geq 4$, because $k + l \geq 2$.) Set $\mathcal{N} = \{U \subset \{1, \dots, \theta(2k+2l)\} : |U| = \lfloor \theta(2k+2l)/2 \rfloor\}$. We want a partition of \mathcal{N} into two parts \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} with $|\mathcal{A}| \geq 2k$ and $|\mathcal{B}| \geq 2l$, such that S^+ is included in at most k sets of \mathcal{A} and S^- contains at most l sets of \mathcal{B} . Let \mathcal{N}_{S^+} (resp. \mathcal{N}_{S^-}) be the set of elements of \mathcal{N} containing S^+ (resp. contained in S^-). We have $|\mathcal{N}_{S^+}| = \lceil \theta(2k+2l)/2 \rceil + 1$ and $|\mathcal{N}_{S^-}| = \lfloor \theta(2k+2l)/2 \rfloor + 1$; because $k + l \geq 3$, it follows $|\mathcal{N}_{S^+}| \leq k + l$ and $|\mathcal{N}_{S^-}| \leq k + l$. Moreover, the sets \mathcal{N}_{S^+} and \mathcal{N}_{S^-} are disjoint and $|\mathcal{N}_{S^-}| \leq k + l$. Let us sort the elements of \mathcal{N} beginning with those of \mathcal{N}_{S^-} and ending with those of \mathcal{N}_{S^+} . Let \mathcal{A} be the $2k$ first sets in this sorting and \mathcal{B} what remains ($|\mathcal{B}| \geq 2l$). We claim that \mathcal{A} contains at most k elements of \mathcal{N}_{S^+} . If not, then $|\mathcal{A}| > \binom{\theta(2k+2l)}{\lfloor \theta(2k+2l)/2 \rfloor} - |\mathcal{N}_{S^+}| + k$. We obtain $2k > 2k + 2l - |\mathcal{N}_{S^+}| + k$ which contradicts $|\mathcal{N}_{S^+}| \leq k + l$. With same argument, \mathcal{B} contains at most l elements of \mathcal{N}_{S^-} .

Finally, set $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_{2k}\}$ such that none of A_{k+1}, \dots, A_{2k} contains S^+ and $\{B_1, \dots, B_{2l}\}$ $2l$ sets of \mathcal{B} such that none of B_{l+1}, \dots, B_{2l} is contained in S^- .

Let us define $h : D \rightarrow \overline{H}_{2k+2l}$. If $x \in V^+$ and $h^+(x) = t_i^+$ then $h(x) = S^+$ if $i = 1$ and $h(x) = A_{i-1}$ otherwise. If $x \in V^-$ and $h^-(x) = t_i^-$ then $h(x) = S^-$ if $i = 1$ and $h(x) = B_{i-1}$ otherwise. Let us check that h is a homomorphism. Let xy be an arc of D . T_k^+ is a subdigraph of $\overline{H}_{2k+2l}[\{A_1, \dots, A_{2k}, S\}]$ and T_l^- is a subdigraph of $\overline{H}_{2k+2l}[\{B_1, \dots, B_{2l}, S^-\}]$. So, $h(x)h(y)$ is an arc of \overline{H}_{2k+2l} if x and y are both in V^+ or both in V^- . Suppose now that $x \in V^+$ and $y \in V^-$, then $h^+(x) \neq t_1^+$ because $d_{D^+}(x) < k$ and $h(x) \neq S^+$. Similarly, $h^-(y) \neq S^-$. Thus $h(x)$ and $h(y)$ are elements of \mathcal{N} , so $h(x)h(y) \in E(\overline{H}_{2k+2l})$. Finally, suppose that $x \in V^-$ and $y \in V^+$. Then $h(x)h(y) \in E(\overline{H}_{2k+2l})$ because no element of $\{B_1, \dots, B_{2l}, S^-\}$ is a subset of an element of $\{A_1, \dots, A_{2k}, S\}$. \square

Remark 19 Note that the homomorphism provided in (i) has for image subsets of $\{1, \dots, \theta(2k)\}$ with cardinality at most $\lfloor \theta(2k)/2 \rfloor$. So, using the method developped in Theorem 9, we provide an arc-colouring of a k -digraph D with $\theta(2k)$ colours which satisfies $col^+(x) \leq \lfloor \theta(2k)/2 \rfloor$, so $col^-(x) \geq \lceil \theta(2k)/2 \rceil$, for every vertex x of D .

We will now improve the bound (ii) of Theorem 18 when l is very small compared to k .

Lemma 20 *Let D be a $(k \vee l)$ -digraph and D^1 the subdigraph of D induced by the arcs with tail in V^+ . If there exists an arc-colouring of D^1 with $m \geq 2l + 1$ colours such that for every x in V^+ , $col^-(x) \geq l + 1$ then $\chi_\alpha(D) = m$.*

Proof. We will extend the colouring as stated into an arc-colouring of D .

Let us now first extend this colouring to the arcs of D^- . Since $\sum_{v \in V^-} d_{D^-}^+(v) = \sum_{v \in V^-} d_{D^-}^-(v) \leq l|V^-|$, there is a vertex $v_1 \in V^-$ such that $d_{D^-}^+(v_1) \leq l$. And so on, by induction, there is an ordering (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p) of the vertices of D^- such that, for every $1 \leq i \leq p$, v_i dominates at most l vertices in $\{v_j, j > i\}$. Let us colour the arcs of D^- in decreasing order of their head; that is first colour the arcs with head v_p then those with head v_{p-1} , and so on. This is possible since at each stage i , an arc uv_i has at most $2l < m$ forbidden colours (l ingoing u and l outgoing v_i to a vertex in $\{v_j : j > i\}$).

It remains to colour the arcs with tail in V^- and head in V^+ . Let v^-v^+ be such an arc. Since $col^-(v^+) \geq l + 1$ and $d^-(v^-) \leq l$, there is a colour α in $Col^-(v^+)$ that is assigned to no arc ingoing v^- . Hence, assigning α to v^-v^+ , we extend the arc-colouring to v^-v^+ . \square

Corollary 21 *If $\theta(2k) \geq 2l + 1$, then $\phi^\vee(k, l) \leq \theta(2k)$.*

Proof. The digraph D^1 , as defined in Lemma 20, is a k -digraph. The result follows directly from Remark 19 and Lemma 20. \square

4 Relations between $\Phi(k)$ and $\Phi^\vee(k, l)$

Conjecture 22 *Let l be a positive integer. There exists an integer k_l such that if $k \geq k_l$ then $\Phi^\vee(k, l) = \Phi(k)$.*

We now prove Conjecture 22, for $l = 0$, showing that $k_0 = 1$.

Theorem 23 *If $k \geq 1$,*

$$\Phi^\vee(k, 0) = \Phi(k).$$

Proof. Let $D = (V, A)$ be a $(k \vee 0)$ digraph. Let V_0 be the set of vertices with indegree 0. Let D' be the digraph obtained from D by splitting each vertex v of V_0 into $d^+(v)$ vertices with outdegree 1. Formally, for each vertex $v \in V_0$ incident to the arcs $vw_1, \dots, vw_{d^+(v)}$,

replace v by $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d^+(v)}\}$ and vw_i by v_iw_i , $1 \leq i \leq d^+(v)$. By construction, D' is a k -digraph and $L(D) = L(D')$. So $\chi_a(D) = \chi_a(D') \leq \Phi(k)$. \square

We conjecture that if $l = 1$, Conjecture 22 holds with $k_1 = 1$.

Conjecture 24 *If $k \geq 1$,*

$$\Phi^\vee(k, 1) = \Phi(k)$$

Theorem 25 *If $\Phi(k) = \Phi(k - 1)$ or $\Phi(k) = \Phi(k + 1)$ then $\Phi^\vee(k, 1) = \Phi(k)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 20, it suffices to prove that if $\Phi(k) = \Phi(k - 1)$ or $\Phi(k) = \Phi(k + 1)$ every k -digraph admits an arc-colouring with $\Phi(k)$ colours such that for every vertex x , $\text{col}^-(x) \geq 2$.

Suppose that $\Phi(k) = \Phi(k - 1)$. Let D be a k -digraph and D' be a $(k - 1)$ -digraph such that $\chi_a(D') = \Phi(k)$. Let C be the digraph constructed as follows: for every vertex $x \in V(D)$ add a copy $D'(x)$ of D' such that every vertex of $D'(x)$ dominates x . Then C is a k -digraph, so it admits an arc-colouring c with $\Phi(k)$ colours. Note that c is also an arc-colouring of D which is a subdigraph of C . Let us prove that for every vertex $x \in V(D)$, $\text{col}^-(x) \geq 2$.

Suppose, reductio ad absurdum, that there is a vertex $x \in V(D)$ such that $\text{col}^-(x) \leq 1$. Since there are arcs ingoing x in C (those from $V(D'(x))$), then $\text{Col}^-(x)$ is a singleton $\{\alpha\}$. Now every arc vx with $v \in D'(x)$ is coloured α so any arc $uv \in E(D'(x))$ is not coloured α . Hence c is an arc-colouring with $\Phi(k) - 1$ colours which is a contradiction.

The proof is analogous if $\Phi(k) = \Phi(k + 1)$ with D' a k -digraph such that $\chi_a(D') = \Phi(k)$. Then C is a $(k + 1)$ -digraph and we get the result in the same way. \square

The next theorem shows that for a fixed integer k , one of the Conjectures 24 and 4 holds.

Theorem 26 *Let k be an integer. Then $\Phi^\vee(k, 1) = \Phi(k)$ or $\Phi^\vee(k, k) \leq \Phi(k) + 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\Phi^\vee(k, 1) \neq \Phi(k)$. Let C be a $(k \vee 1)$ -digraph such that $\chi_a(C) = \Phi(k) + 1$ and C^1 the subdigraph of C induced by the arcs with tail in $V^+(C)$. By Lemma 20, for every arc-colouring of C^1 with $\Phi(k)$ colours there exists a vertex x of C^+ with $\text{col}^-(x) \leq 1$.

Let D be a $(k \vee k)$ -digraph. Let D^1 (resp. D^2) be the subdigraph of D induced by the arcs with tail in $V^+(D)$ (resp. head in $V^-(D)$). Let E' be the set of arcs of D with tail in V^- and head in V^+ . Let F^1 be the digraph constructed from C^1 as follows : for every vertex $x \in V^+(C)$, add a copy $D^+(x)$ of D^+ and the arcs $\{u(x)x, uv \in E(D), u \in V^+(D), \text{ and } v \in V^-(D)\}$. Then F^1 is a k -digraph so it admits an arc-colouring c_1 with $\{1, \dots, \Phi(k)\}$. Now there is a vertex $x \in V^+(C)$ such that $\text{col}^-(x) \leq 1$. So all the arcs from $D^+(x)$ to x are coloured the same. Free to permute the labels, we may assume they are coloured 1. Since $F^1[V^+(D) \cup x]$ has the same line-digraph than D^1 , the arc-colourings of $F^1[V^+(D) \cup x]$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the arc-colourings of D^1 . So D^1 admits an arc-colouring c^1 with $\{1, \dots, \Phi(k)\}$ such that every arc with head in V^- is coloured 1.

Analogously, D^2 admits an arc-colouring c^2 with $\{1, \dots, \Phi(k)\}$ such that every arc with head in V^- is coloured 1. The union of c_1 and c_2 is an arc-colouring of $D - E'$ with

$\{1, \dots, \Phi(k)\}$. Hence assigning $\Phi(k) + 1$ to every arc of E' , we obtain an arc-colouring of D with $\Phi(k) + 1$ colours. \square

Corollary 27 $\Phi^\vee(k, 1) \leq \Phi(k) + 1$.

Note that since $\Phi(k)$ is bounded by $\theta(2k)$, the condition $\Phi^\vee(k, 1) = \Phi(k)$ or $\Phi^\vee(k, k) \leq \Phi(k) + 1$ is very often true. Indeed, we conjecture that it is always true and that Φ behaves “smoothly”.

Conjecture 28 (i) If $k \geq 1$, $\Phi(k + 1) \leq \Phi(k) + 1$.

(ii) If $k \geq 1$, $\Phi(k + 2) \leq \Phi(k) + 1$.

(iii) $\Phi(k_1 k_2) \leq \Phi(k_1) + \Phi(k_2)$.

Note that (ii) implies (i) and Conjecture 24.

The arc-set of a $(k_1 + k_2)$ -digraph D may trivially be partitioned into two sets E_1 and E_2 such that $(V(D), E_1)$ is a k_1 -digraph and $(V(D), E_2)$ is a k_2 -digraph. So $\Phi(k_1 + k_2) \leq \Phi(k_1) + \Phi(k_2)$. In particular, $\Phi(k + 1) \leq \Phi(k) + \Phi(1) = \Phi(k) + 3$. Despite we were not able to prove Conjecture 28-(i), we now improve the above trivial result.

Theorem 29 If $k \geq 1$ then, $\Phi(k + 1) \leq \Phi(k) + 2$.

Proof. Let D be a $(k + 1)$ -digraph. Free to add arcs, we may assume that $d^+(v) = k + 1$ for every $v \in V(D)$. Let T_1, \dots, T_p be the terminal components of D . Each T_i contains a circuit C_i which has a chord. Indeed consider a maximal path P in T_i and two arcs with tail its terminus and head in P , by maximality. One can extend $\bigcup C_i$ into a subdigraph F spanning D such that $d_F^+(v) \geq 1$ for every $v \in V(D)$ and the sole circuits are the C_i , $1 \leq i \leq p$. F is the union of p connected components F_1, \dots, F_p , each F_i being the union of C_i and inarborescences $A_i^1, \dots, A_i^{q_i}$ with roots $r_i^1, \dots, r_i^{q_i}$ in C_i such that $(V(C_i), V(A_i^1) \setminus \{r_i^1\}, \dots, V(A_i^{q_i}) \setminus \{r_i^{q_i}\})$ is a partition of $V(D)$.

Now $D - F$ is a k -digraph. So we colour the arcs of $D - F$ with $\Phi(k)$ colours. Let α and β be two new colours. Let us colour the arcs of F . Let $1 \leq i \leq p$. If C_i is an even circuit then F_i is bipartite and its arcs may be coloured by α and β . If C_i is an odd circuit, consider its chord xy in $E(D - F)$. In the colouring of $D - F$, $Col^+(x) \not\subseteq Col^+(y)$ thus there is an arc $x'y'$ of $E(C_i)$ such that $Col^+(x') \not\subseteq Col^+(y')$. Hence we may assign to $x'y'$ a colour of $Col^+(x') \setminus Col^+(y')$. Now $F_i - x'y'$ is bipartite and its arcs may be coloured by α and β . \square

5 Φ and Φ^\vee for small value of k or l .

5.1 $\Phi(1)$, $\Phi^\vee(1, 0)$ and $\Phi^\vee(1, 1)$.

Theorem 30

$$\Phi^\vee(1, 1) = \Phi^\vee(1, 0) = \Phi(1) = 3$$

Proof. By Theorem 5, $\Phi^\vee(1, 1) \leq 3$. The 3-circuit is its own line-digraph and is not 2-colourable. \square

5.2 $\Phi(2)$ and $\Phi^\vee(2, l)$, for $l \leq 2$.

The aim of this subsection is to prove Theorem 35, that is $\Phi(2) = \Phi^\vee(2, 0) = \Phi^\vee(2, 1) = \Phi^\vee(2, 2) = 4$. Therefore, we first exhibit a 2-digraph which is not 3-arc-colourable. Then we show that $\Phi^\vee(2, 2) \leq 4$.

Definition 31 For any integer $k \geq 1$, the *rotative tournament* on $2k + 1$ vertices, denoted R_{2k+1} , is the tournament with vertex-set $\{v_1, \dots, v_{2k+1}\}$ and arc-set $\{v_i v_j, j - i \pmod{2k+1} \in \{1, \dots, k\}\}$.

Proposition 32 *The tournament R_5 is not 3-arc-colourable. So $\Phi(2) \geq 4$.*

Proof. Suppose that R_5 admits a 3-arc-colouring c in $\{1, 2, 3\}$. Then, for any two vertices x and y , $Col^+(x) \neq Col^+(y)$ and $1 \leq col^+(x) \leq 2$. Hence there is a vertex, say v_1 , such that $col^+(v_1) = 1$, say $Col^+(v_1) = \{1\}$. Then $Col^+(v_2)$ and $Col^+(v_3)$ are subsets of $\{2, 3\}$ and $Col^+(v_2) \not\subseteq Col^+(v_3)$. It follows that $col^+(v_3) = 1$. Repeating the argument for v_3 , we obtain $col^+(v_5) = 1$ and then $col^+(v_i) = 1$, for every $1 \leq i \leq 5$, which is a contradiction. \square

In order to prove that $\Phi^\vee(2, 2) \leq 4$, we need to show that every $(2 \vee 2)$ -digraph admits homomorphism h into \vec{H}_4 . In order to exhibit such a homomorphism, we first show that there is a homomorphism h^+ from D^+ into a subdigraph S_2^+ of \vec{H}_4 and a homomorphism h^- from D^- into a subdigraph S_2^- of \vec{H}_4 with specific properties allowing us to extend h^+ and h^- into a homomorphism h from D into \vec{H}_4 .

Definition 33 Let S_2^+ be the digraph with vertex-set $\{s_1^+, \dots, s_6^+\}$ with arc-set $\{s_i^+ s_j^+ : i \neq j\} \setminus \{s_2^+ s_1^+, s_4^+ s_3^+, s_6^+ s_5^+\}$.

Lemma 34 *Let D be a 2-digraph. There exists a homomorphism h^+ from D to S_2^+ such that the vertices x with $h^+(x) \in \{s_2^+, s_4^+, s_6^+\}$ have outdegree 2.*

Proof. Let us prove it by induction on $|V(D)|$. If $d^+(x) \leq 1$ for every vertex x of D then D is 3-colourable and $D \rightarrow S_2^+[\{s_1^+, s_3^+, s_5^+\}]$. So, we assume that there exists a vertex x with outdegree 2. By induction hypothesis, there is a homomorphism $h^+ : D - x \rightarrow S_2^+$ with

the required condition. Note that every inneighbour of x has outdegree at most 1 in $D - x$ and thus can not have image s_2^+ , s_4^+ or s_6^+ by h^+ . Denote by y and z the outneighbours of x . The set $\{h^+(y), h^+(z)\}$ does not intersect one set of $\{s_1^+, s_2^+\}$, $\{s_3^+, s_4^+\}$ and $\{s_5^+, s_6^+\}$, say $\{s_1^+, s_2^+\}$. Then, setting $h^+(x) = s_2^+$, we extend h^+ into a homomorphism from D to S_2^+ with the required condition. \square

Theorem 35

$$\Phi(2) = \Phi^\vee(2, 0) = \Phi^\vee(2, 1) = \Phi^\vee(2, 2) = 4$$

Proof.

By Proposition 32, $4 \leq \Phi(2) \leq \Phi^\vee(2, 0) \leq \Phi^\vee(2, 1) \leq \Phi^\vee(2, 2)$.

Let us prove that $\Phi^\vee(2, 2) \leq 4$. Let D be a $(2 \vee 2)$ -digraph. We will provide a homomorphism from D to \overline{H}_4 .

Let S_2^- be the dual of S_2^+ , that is the digraph on $\{s_1^-, \dots, s_6^-\}$ with arc-set $\{s_i^- s_j^- : i \neq j\} \setminus \{s_1^- s_2^-, s_3^- s_4^-, s_5^- s_6^-\}$. By Lemma 34, there is a homomorphism $h^+ : D^+ \rightarrow S_2^+$ such that if $h^+(x) \in \{s_2^+, s_4^+, s_6^+\}$ then $d_{D^+}^+(x) = 2$. Symmetrically, there exists a homomorphism $h^- : D^- \rightarrow S_2^-$ such that if $h^-(x) \in \{s_2^-, s_4^-, s_6^-\}$ then $d_{D^-}^-(x) = 2$.

Let S_2 be the digraph obtained from the disjoint union of S_2^+ and S_2^- by adding the arcs of $\{s_i^- s_j^+ : 1 \leq i \leq 6, 1 \leq j \leq 6\} \cup \{s_i^+ s_j^- : i = 1, 3, 5, j = 1, 3, 5\}$. The mapping $h : D \rightarrow S_2$ defined by $h(x) = h^+(x)$ if $x \in V^+$ and $h(x) = h^-(x)$ if $x \in V^-$ is a homomorphism. Indeed if xy is an arc of D with $x \in V^+$ and $y \in V^-$, conditions on h^+ and h^- imply that $h(x) = h^+(x) \in \{s_1^+, s_3^+, s_5^+\}$ and $h(y) = h^-(y) \in \{s_1^-, s_3^-, s_5^-\}$. To conclude, Figure 1 provides a homomorphism g from S_2 to \overline{H}_4 . The non-oriented arcs on the figure corresponds to circuits of length 2 and all the arcs from S_2^- to S_2^+ are not represented. \square




Figure 1: The homomorphism g from S_2 to \overline{H}_4 .

5.3 $\Phi(3)$ and $\Phi^\vee(3, l)$, for $l \leq 3$.

Theorem 36

$$\Phi(3) = \Phi^\vee(3, 0) = \Phi^\vee(3, 1) = 4$$

Proof. $4 \leq \Phi(2) \leq \Phi(3) \leq \Phi^\vee(3, 0) \leq \Phi^\vee(3, 1) \leq \theta(6) = 4$ by Corollary 21. \square

In the remaining of this subsection, we shall prove Theorem 35, that is $\Phi^\vee(3, 2) = \Phi^\vee(3, 3) = 5$. Therefore, we first exhibit a $(3 \vee 2)$ -digraph which is not 4-arc-colourable. Then we show that $\Phi^\vee(3, 3) \leq 5$.

Definition 37 Let G^- be the digraph obtained from the rotative tournament on five vertices R_5 , with vertex set $\{v_1^-, \dots, v_5^-\}$ and arc-set $\{v_i^- v_j^- : j - i \pmod{5} \in \{1, 2\}\}$ and five copies of the 3-circuits R_3^1, \dots, R_3^5 by adding, for $1 \leq i \leq 5$, the arcs vv_i^- , for $v \in R_3^i$.

Let G^+ be the digraph obtained from the rotative tournament on seven vertices R_7 , with vertex set $\{v_1^+, \dots, v_7^+\}$ and arc-set $\{v_i^+ v_j^+ : j - i \pmod{7} \in \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ and seven copies of the rotative tournament of, R_5^1, \dots, R_5^7 by adding, for $1 \leq i \leq 7$, the arcs vv_i^+ , for $v \in R_5^i$.

Finally, let G be the $(3 \vee 2)$ -digraph obtained from the disjoint union of G^- and G^+ by adding all the arcs of the form $v^- v^+$ with $v^- \in V(G^-)$ and $v^+ \in V(G^+)$. See Figure 2.

Proposition 38 *The digraph G is not 4-arc-colourable. So $\Phi(3, 2) \geq 5$.*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that G admits an arc-colouring c in $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.


Let v^+ be a vertex of G^+ and v^- a vertex of G^- . Then since $v^- v^+$ is an arc, $Col^+(v^+) \neq Col^+(v^-)$. We will show:

- (1) there are two 2-subsets S of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that a vertex $v^- \in G^-$ satisfies $Col^+(v^-) = S$;
- (2) there are five 2-subsets S of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that a vertex $v^+ \in G^+$ satisfies $Col^+(v^+) = S$.

This gives a contradiction since there are only six 2-subsets in $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Let us first show (1). Every vertex of G^- satisfies $col^+ \geq 2$ otherwise all the arcs of R_7 in G^+ must be coloured with three colours, a contradiction to Theorem 12. Hence, since in R_5 all the Col^+ are distinct and not $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, a vertex of R_5 , say v_1^- , has $col^+ = 2$, say $Col^+(v_1^-) = \{1, 2\}$. Consider now the vertices of R_3^1 . None of them has $Col^+ = \{1, 2, 3\}$ nor $Col^+ = \{1, 2, 4\}$ since they are dominated by v_1^- . Moreover they all have different Col^+ since R_3^1 is a tournament. Hence one of them, say v , satisfies $col^+(v) = 2$. Now $Col^+(v) \neq Col^+(v_1^-)$ since $v_1^- \rightarrow v$.

Let us now prove (2). Let $\mathcal{S} = \{2\text{-subsets } S \text{ such that } \exists v^+ \in G^+, Col^+(v^+) = S\}$ and suppose that $|\mathcal{S}| \leq 4$. Every vertex of G^+ has $col^+ \leq 2$ otherwise all the arcs of R_5 in G^- must be coloured with three colours, a contradiction to Proposition 32. Now, all the vertices



INRIA

Figure 2: The non 4-arc-colourable $(3 \vee 2)$ -digraph G .

of R_7 have distinct and non-empty Col^+ . So at least three vertices of R_7 have $col^+ = 2$ and $|S| \geq 3$. Thus, without loss of generality, we are in one these three following cases:

- (a) $S \subset \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 4\}\}$ and $Col^+(v_1^+) = \{1, 2\}$;
- (b) $S \subset \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 4\}\}$ and $Col^+(v_1^+) = \{2, 3\}$;
- (c) $S \subset \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 4\}\}$ and $Col^+(v_1^+) = \{1, 4\}$.

Let x_1, \dots, x_5 be the vertices of R_5^1 such that $x_i x_j$ is an arc if and only if $j = i + 1 \pmod 5$ or $j = i + 2 \pmod 5$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{Col^+(x_i) : 1 \leq i \leq 5\}$. Recall that $|\mathcal{F}| = 5$ since R_5^1 is a tournament and that every element S of \mathcal{F} is not included in $Col^+(v_1^+)$ since $x_i \rightarrow v_1^+$ for every $1 \leq i \leq 5$.

Case (a): We have $\mathcal{F} = \{\{3\}, \{4\}, \{2, 3\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 4\}\}$. So we may assume that $Col^+(x_1) = \{3\}$. Now because $x_1 \rightarrow x_2$, $x_1 \rightarrow x_3$ and $x_2 \rightarrow x_3$, $Col^+(x_1) \not\subset Col^+(x_2)$, $Col^+(x_1) \not\subset Col^+(x_3)$ and $Col^+(x_2) \not\subset Col^+(x_3)$. It follows that $Col^+(x_2) = \{1, 4\}$ and $Col^+(x_3) = \{4\}$. Hence, none of $Col^+(x_4)$ and $Col^+(x_5)$ is $\{3, 4\}$ since $x_3 \rightarrow x_4$ and $x_3 \rightarrow x_5$, a contradiction.

Case (b): We have $\mathcal{F} = \{\{1\}, \{4\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 4\}\}$. So we may assume that $Col^+(x_1) = \{1\}$. Since $x_1 \rightarrow x_2$, $Col^+(x_1) \not\subset Col^+(x_2)$, so $Col^+(x_2) = \{4\}$. Similarly, $Col^+(x_3) = \{4\}$ which is a contradiction.

Case (c): We have $\mathcal{F} = \{\{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$. So we may assume that $Col^+(x_1) = \{2\}$. It follows that $Col^+(x_2) = \{1, 3\}$ and $Col^+(x_3) = \{3\}$. Hence, none of $Col^+(x_4)$ and $Col^+(x_5)$ is $\{2, 3\}$ since $x_3 \rightarrow x_4$ and $x_3 \rightarrow x_5$, a contradiction. \square

We will now prove that $\Phi^\vee(3, 3) \leq 5$. As in the proof of Theorem 35, in order to exhibit a homomorphism from a $(3 \vee 3)$ -digraph D to \vec{H}_5 , we first show that there are two homomorphisms, h^+ from D^+ into a subdigraph S_3^+ of \vec{H}_5 and h^- from D^- into another subdigraph S_3^- of \vec{H}_5 , with specific properties.

Definition 39 Let S_3^+ be the complete digraph with vertex-set $\{s_1^+, \dots, s_7^+\}$. Let S_3^- be the digraph with vertex-set $\{s_1^-, \dots, s_9^-\}$ and arc-set $\{s_i^- s_j^- : i \neq j\} \setminus \{s_2^- s_1^-, s_3^- s_1^-\}$.

Lemma 40 Let D be a 3-digraph. There exists a homomorphism h^+ from D to S_3^+ such that the vertices x with $h^+(x) \in \{s_6^+, s_7^+\}$ have outdegree 3.

Proof. Let us prove it by induction on $n = |V(D)|$. If there exists a vertex x with $d^+(x) + d^-(x) \leq 4$ then we obtain the desired homomorphism h^+ from $D - x$ to S_3^+ and extend it with a suitable choice of $h^+(x)$ in $\{s_1^+, \dots, s_5^+\}$.

Assume now that $d^+(x) + d^-(x) \geq 5$ for every x . Let n_i be the number of vertices with outdegree i . Clearly, $n = n_0 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3$. Moreover, we have:

$$3n \geq \sum_{x \in V} d^+(x) = \sum_{x \in V} d^-(x) = \sum_{d^+(x)=0} d^-(x) + \sum_{d^+(x)=1} d^-(x) + \sum_{d^+(x)=2} d^-(x) + \sum_{d^+(x)=3} d^-(x)$$

Then, by assumption:

$$3n \geq 5n_0 + 4n_1 + 3n_2 + \sum_{d^+(x)=3} d^-(x)$$

If there is no vertex with outdegree 3, then D is 5-colourable and there is an homomorphism h^+ from D to $S_3^+[\{s_1^+, \dots, s_5^+\}]$. Suppose now that there exists a vertex with outdegree 3. Then, there exists a vertex with outdegree 3 and indegree at most 3. If not, $d^-(x) \geq 4$ for every x with $d^+(x) = 3$ and the previous inequality implies $3n \geq 5n_0 + 4n_1 + 3n_2 + 4n_3$ with $n_3 \neq 0$, what contradicts $n = n_0 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3$.

Finally, let x be a vertex with outdegree 3 and indegree at most 3. By induction hypothesis, there is a homomorphism h^+ from $D - x$ to S_3^+ with the required property. As x has at most 6 neighbours, we extend h^+ with a suitable choice for $h^+(x)$ in $\{s_1^+, \dots, s_7^+\}$. \square

Lemma 41 *Let D be a digraph with maximal indegree at most 3. There exists a homomorphism h^- from D to S_3^- such that the vertices x with $h^-(x) \in \{s_6^-, \dots, s_9^-\}$ have indegree 3.*

Proof. We prove the result by induction on $|V(D)|$.

If every vertex have indegree at most 2 then, by the dual form of the Lemma 17, there exists a homomorphism from D to $S_3^-[\{s_1^-, \dots, s_5^-\}]$.

Now, let x be a vertex with indegree 3. Let y_1, y_2 and y_3 be the outneighbours of x . By induction, there is a homomorphism h^- from $D - x$ to S_3^- with the required property. In particular, as the vertex y_i , $1 \leq i \leq 3$, has indegree at most 2 in $D - x$, we have $h^-(y_i) \in \{s_1^-, \dots, s_5^-\}$. So, as x has 3 inneighbours, we can extend h^- with a suitable choice for $h^-(x)$ in $\{s_6^-, \dots, s_9^-\}$. \square

Theorem 42

$$\Phi^\vee(3, 2) = \Phi^\vee(3, 3) = 5$$

Proof. By Proposition 38, $5 \leq \Phi^\vee(3, 2) \leq \Phi^\vee(3, 3)$. We will prove that $\Phi^\vee(3, 3) \leq 5$. Let D be a $(3 \vee 3)$ -digraph, we will provide a homomorphism from D to \overline{H}_5 .

By Lemma 40, there is a homomorphism $h^+ : D^+ \rightarrow S_3^+$ such that if $h^+(x) \in \{s_6^+, s_7^+\}$ then $d_{D^+}^+(x) = 3$. Moreover by Lemma 41, there is a homomorphism $h^- : D^- \rightarrow S_3^-$ such that if $h^-(x) \in \{s_6^-, \dots, s_9^-\}$, then $d_{D^-}^-(x) = 3$.

Let S_3 be the digraph obtained from the disjoint union of S_3^+ and S_3^- by adding the arcs of $\{s_i^- s_j^+ : 1 \leq i \leq 9, 1 \leq j \leq 7\} \cup \{s_i^+ s_j^- : i = 1, \dots, 5, j = 1, \dots, 5\}$. The mapping $h : D \rightarrow S_3$ defined by $h(x) = h^+(x)$ if $x \in V^+$ and $h(x) = h^-(x)$ if $x \in V^-$ is a homomorphism. Indeed if xy is an arc of D with $x \in V^+$ and $y \in V^-$, conditions on h^+ and h^- imply that $h(x) = h^+(x) \in \{s_1^+, \dots, s_5^+\}$ and $h(y) = h^-(y) \in \{s_1^-, \dots, s_5^-\}$. To conclude, Figure 3 provides a homomorphism g from S_3 to \overline{H}_5 . Inside S_3^- and S_3^+ , only the arcs which are not in a circuit of length 2 are represented, every pair of not adjacent vertices are, in fact, linked by two arcs, one in each way. \square




Figure 3: The homomorphism g from S_3 to \overline{H}_5 .

References

- [1] R. L. Brooks, On colouring the nodes of a network. *Proc Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **37** (1941), 194–197.
- [2] A. El-Sahili, Fonctions de graphes disjoints, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **319** Série I (1994), 519–521.
- [3] A. El-Sahili, Functions and Line Digraphs, *J. of Graph Theory* **44** (2003), no. 4, 296–303.
- [4] P. Erdős, A. L. Rubin, H. Taylor, Choosability in graphs, *Proc. West-Coast Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing*, Arcata, California, *Congressus Numerantium* **XXVI** (1979), 125–157.
- [5] S. Poljak and V. Rödl, On the arc-chromatic number of a digraph, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **31** (1981), no. 2, 190–198.
- [6] E. Sperner, Ein Satz über Untermengen einer endlichen Menge, *Math. Zeit.* **27** (1928).



Unité de recherche INRIA Sophia Antipolis
2004, route des Lucioles - BP 93 - 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Futurs : Parc Club Orsay Université - ZAC des Vignes
4, rue Jacques Monod - 91893 ORSAY Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Lorraine : LORIA, Technopôle de Nancy-Brabois - Campus scientifique
615, rue du Jardin Botanique - BP 101 - 54602 Villers-lès-Nancy Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Rennes : IRISA, Campus universitaire de Beaulieu - 35042 Rennes Cedex (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Rhône-Alpes : 655, avenue de l'Europe - 38334 Montbonnot Saint-Ismier (France)

Unité de recherche INRIA Rocquencourt : Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt - BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France)

Éditeur
INRIA - Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt, BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France)
<http://www.inria.fr>
ISSN 0249-6399